

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53647 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1689 Year-2018 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

=====

RAM JEE YADAV @ RAM JEE SINGH @ RAJEE YADAV Son of Raj
Kumar Yadav @ Lalu Yadav Resident of Village-Bajra Dera, P.S.-Jagdishpur,
District-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Kiran Devi Daughter of Birendra Singh Resident of Village-Hetampur, P.S-
Tiyar, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarun Prasad Mandal, Addl Public Prosecutor

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 04-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner who is husband of opposite party no. 2 apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A/406 and other allied sections of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 2000/-per month, starting from this month, to opposite party no. 2.

In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 2000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in



Complaint Case No. 1689C/2018, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

(1) Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the opposite party no. 2.

(3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

