

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56806 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-70 Year-2018 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Jamui

=====

Anoj Yadav Son of Saryu Yadav Resident of Village - Bhagwana, P.S.-
Charkapathar (Sono), District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gita Devi, wife of Anoj Yadav, resident of village Katiyari, P.S. Sono,
District Jamui.

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niranjan Parihar, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar, A.P.P.
For the Opposite Party no. 2	:	Mr. Akash Raj, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

ORAL ORDER

6 05-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the opposite party no. 2 as well as learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Jamui Mahila P.S. Case No. 70 of 2018 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 494, 498(A) of the Indian
Penal Code and 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner happens to be husband of the opposite
party no. 2 and it would appear from perusal of the first



information report that he has already solemnized his second marriage. However, a co-ordinate Bench of this court granted provisional bail to petitioner vide order dated 12.09.2019 and subsequently, the matter was referred to Mediation Centre, Patna High Court, Patna but unfortunately, the learned Mediator failed to resolve the dispute of the parties.

Since the petitioner has already surrendered before the court below in pursuance of order dated 12.09.2019, this anticipatory bail petition stands disposed of with direction to learned court below to explore the possibility to resolve the dispute of the parties within two months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order and furthermore, the petitioner as well as opposite party no. 2 are directed to appear before the court below whenever they are summoned by the concerned court. It is made clear that provisional bail of the petitioner is extended for further period of two months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order before the concerned court. It is also made clear that if the court below fails to resolve the dispute of the parties due to rigid approach of the petitioner, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner by this court shall not be extended and in that circumstance, the concerned court shall be at liberty to take the petitioner in



custody and pass appropriate order, if regular bail application is
filed on behalf of the petitioner.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

shahzad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

