

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50254 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2018 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Khakhan Sah, aged about 50 years, male, Son of Chalitar Sah Resident of
Village - Mohanpur, P.S.- Mahua, Dist.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umanath Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4. 23-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Mahua P.S. Case No. 135
of 2018 registered for the offence under Sections 304(B),
506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is case of dowry death of informant's married
daughter due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and
petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is father-in-law and has falsely been implicated in
this case. There is general and omnibus allegation. It was further
submitted that the petitioner was living separately with the
deceased and petitioner had no concern with the affairs of his
son and deceased. It was also submitted that petitioner is
suffering from many disease and he is in custody since



11-06-2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as considering the fact that petitioner is father-in-law and he is in custody since long, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 135 of 2018 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

