

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56173 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2015 Thana- CHANDRAMANDI District- Jamui

MADAN RAI @ PRAMOD RAI @ PRAMOD RAY Son of Late Bajo Rai
Resident of Barmoriya, P.S. - Sono (Charkapathar), District - Jamui
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satya Prakash Parasar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

6 19-06-2020 The proceedings of the Court are being conducted

through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the

proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 122,
124(A) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1) A of the
Arms Act and 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 of the U.A.P. Act.

Petitioner had earlier moved this Court for regular bail
vide Cr. Misc. No. 50943 of 2018 which was rejected on
11.01.2019 with a direction to the trial court to conclude the trial
within one year.

Allegation is of recovery of A.K. 56 rifle, 17 live
cartridges, battery, Spoon, Red pen and other articles which was



recovered on confession made by petitioner from forest where it was concealed.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing was recovered from the possession of petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 26.01.2015 and was remanded in the case on 19.12.2017.

Report was called for with respect to stage of trial and it is stated in report send by trial court that one witness out of 8 witnesses has been examined.

Considering prohibited arms and ammunition being recovered concealed in the jungle on confession of petitioner and petitioner having criminal antecedent of similar nature, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial within one year from the date of receipt/production of copy of order passed by this Court.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

