

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 45705 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2017 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Jamui

Pappu Yadav Male, aged about 30 years, Son of Rajendra Yadav Resident of
Village - Fatehpur, P.S.- Khaira, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Punita Devi Female, aged about 20 years, Wife of Pappu Yadav Resident of
Village - Fatehpur, P.S.- Khaira, District- Jamui, D/o- Litu Yadav, at present
Village - Dhobghath, P.S.- Khaira, District- Jamui

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Pankaj Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh
	Mr. Ranjit Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6. 20-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner, husband of opposite party no. 2, apprehends his arrest in Complaint Case No. 96C of 2017 registered for the offence under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 2,500/- (two thousand & five hundred) per month, starting from this month, to complainant/opposite party no. 2. The above proposal, made on behalf of petitioner, was candidly accepted by the counsel for opposite party no. 2.

Accordingly, In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 2,500/- (two thousand & five hundred) per month, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 24-07-2019, is hereby confirmed on the following conditions:



“(1) Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the opposite party no. 2.

(3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(4) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(5) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(6) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

