

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL REVISION No.1353 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Gaya

=====

Md. Alam Son of Rahim Mian @ Md Rahimamsauri Resident of Village-
Gannu Bigha, P.S.- Chandauti, District- Gaya. Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. Asma Khatoon Wife of Md. Alam At present resident of Village- Dumri,
P.S.- Chandauti, District- Gaya.
- Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kunwar Narayan Jamuar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sri Binod Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 17-02-2020 If it is not the case of the opposite party-petitioner that he

is not engaged in Agarbatti business and is not earning a sum of Rs.
6000/-, only because the applicant-opposite party no. 2 did not
depose before the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Gaya, the
impugned order is not required to be interfered with.

It is very simple to understand that even if the petitioner is
earning Rs. 6000/- per month which is not in dispute, he will have to
part with a sum of Rs. 2000/- which is a paltry amount only some
how to give a solace to his wife who is seeking maintenance. This
Court finds no error in the impugned order.

The application stands dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U			
----------	--	--	--

