

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20755 of 2011

=====

Rabindra Nath Singh son of late Pritam Singh R/o BIDCO colony, Road no.2, East Indira Nagar Kankarbagh, Patna-20

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Barrack No.28 old secretariat Patna 800015.
2. Women Development Corporation, Bihar through its Managing Director 2nd Floor Indira Bhawan R.C.Singh Path, Patna-80001.
3. The Managing Director, Women Development Corporation, Bihar through its Managing Director 2nd Floor Indira Bhawan R.C.Singh Path, Patna-8000.
4. The Administrative Officer, Women Development Corporation, Bihar 2nd Floor, Indira Bhawan, R.C.Singh Path, Patna-800001.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amod Kumar, Advt.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Keshri Aag11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-02-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Respondent-State as well as learned counsel representing the Women Development Corporation.

The grievance of the petitioner is that instead of 12,500/- per month he has been paid Rs. 9,000/- per month for discharging the duties of Personal Assistant to the Managing Director/ CMD in the respondent-Corporation. The petitioner has served as such upon his superannuation on 31.01.2007, w.e.f. 01.02.2007 onward. The petitioner's grievance is raised having regard to the decision of the Board in its 16th meeting,



wherein, approved consolidated wages were specified at the rate of 12,500/- for P.A. to MD/CMD, i.e. post on which the petitioner performed his duties.

The learned counsel representing the Corporation draws attention of the Court towards the note at the bottom of the fixation of pay range as contained in Annexure-3, the proposal in the meeting was also to the extent that the candidates were to be given remunerations commensurate to the suitability for the post. After assessment of such suitability, the consolidated wages were to be granted within a pay range, i.e. 8,000/- to 12,500/-. Four (04) grades in the pay range were fixed and upon assessment of suitability for the post, and the same was approved. The four ranges were 8,000/- per month for Grade-D, 9,000/- per month for Grade-C, 11,000/- per month for Grade-B and 12,500/- per month for Grade-A. The petitioner's pay was approved and sanctioned in Grade-C based on suitability for the post, and as such, he has rightly been allowed Rs. 9,000/- per month. Irrespective of the suitability for the post, the petitioner cannot claim any right that he must be paid Rs. 12,500/- per month.

The submission of the counsel for the respondent-Corporation, from bare perusal of the Annexure-3 of the



writ petition and the averments made in paragraph no. 5 of the counter affidavit, merits consideration. The petitioner, therefore, is getting his due and is not in a position to assert for anything more.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.03.2020
Transmission Date	

