

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4903 of 2005

1. M/S Iris Electronics [India] Pvt Ltd., a Company incorporated under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956, having its place of business at 786/B, Block-V, Patliputra Area, Patna-800 013 through one of its Directors, Zakir Hussain, S/o late Wazir Hussain Khan, Resident of South Gandhi Nagar, Boring Road, Patna.
2. Zakir Hussain, S/o late Wazir Hussain Khan, Resident of South Gandhi Nagar, Boring Road, P.S: Budha Colony, Town & District—800 001
3. M/s Waheguru Electronics, a Proprietorship Firm having place of business at 336, Jamal Road, P.S: & PO. Kotwali, Town & District—Patna through its Proprietor, Smt. Kuljeet Kaur, W/o Shri Narendra Singh Saluja, Resident of 26, Saraswati Apartment, S.P. Verma Road, P.S. Gandhi Maidan, Patna
4. M/s Satnam Electronics, a Partnership Firm having place of business [Unit] at Industrial Area, Hajipur, and its Office situated at Saraswati Apartment, S.P. Verma Road, P.S. Gandhi Maidan, Patna through one of its Partners, Narendra Singh, Resident of 25/26, Saraswati Apartment, S.P. Verma Road, P.S. Gandhi Maidan, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna,
3. The Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, Patna, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
4. The Joint Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Office of the Joint Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Judge Court Road, Patna
5. The Deputy Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Patna West Circle, Gandhi Maidan, Patna
6. The Assistant Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Hajipur Circle, Hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 26-08-2020

Petitioners have prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(i) For a declaration that the provisions of Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 cannot nullify & take away



the vested rights accrued to the Petitioners with retrospective effect [before expiry of the period] for exemption from payment of Sales-tax on sale of Finished Products under the Notification- S 0 No.:96 dt. 04.04.1994 and Notification-S 0 No.: 479 dt.22.12.1995 issued under the Industrial Policy, 1993 & 1995 as such provision will be ultra vires the provisions of the Constitution of India ;

(ii) For a declaration that the provision of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 to the extent it denies the benefit to the Industrial Units granted exemption in exercise of statutory power by the State Government to that extent, it will not be applicable in relation to Industrial Units enjoying the benefits promised by the State Government for the un-availed period ;

(iii) For a declaration that Section 96[3][b] of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 to the extent it denied the exemption from payment of Sales-tax on sale of Finished Products, the un-availed remaining period/quantum is arbitrary, and cannot sustain in the eyes of law arbitrary & discriminatory ;

(iv) For a declaration that Section 94 of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 to the extent it repeals the rights & privileges acquired & accrued on the basis of the Bihar Finance Act, 1981, Notification issued thereunder and granted under the Industrial Policy Resolution as being arbitrary, discriminatory and opposed to the doctrine of promissory estoppel ;

(v) For a declaration to read down the provisions of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 and to allow the Petitioners to avail the benefit of exemption for the remaining period in terms of the Government Notification-S 0 No.: 479 dt.22.12.1995 ;

(vi) For a declaration that the provisions of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 will not be applicable to the products of the Petitioners till it reaches to the hands of the customers, and under the present ordinance, no tax could be leviable on the value addition on subsequent sale in absence of any provisions of deemed adjustment of the exempted portion of the tax amount ;

(vii) For a declaration that the Present Case is covered by the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in the case of *Iris Electronic [India] pvt. Ltd. vs. State of Bihar & Ors.* reported in 2003[1] PLJR 509 wherein this Hon'ble Court held that the Sales-tax Department cannot levied any tax on the goods



manufactured by an Exempted Unit.

(viii) For restraining the Respondents-Sales-tax Authorities from raising demand of tax on sale of Products of the Petitioners by the Dealers ;

(ix) For a declaration that the *so called* alternative provided in Section 96[3][b] of the Bihar Value Added Tax Ordinance, 2005 read with Rule 57 of the Bihar Value Added Tax Rules, 2005 i.e. option for deferment from payment of tax is arbitrary & discriminatory ; and for any other relief[s] for which the Petitioners may legally be found entitled to in the facts & circumstances of the present case.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly concedes that with the passage of time, present petition has become infructuous inasmuch as there is change with respect to the position of law.

However, it is pointed out that with the enforcement of the new Enactment/Policy, petitioners alone stands discriminated inasmuch as the benefit of exemption from payment of tax stands conferred to twenty two Industrial Units and only petitioner has been left out.

This issue, in our considered view, can be agitated by the petitioner first with the appropriate authority and thereafter before the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

Liberty, as prayed for, is granted for such purpose.

We only hope and expect that as and when petitioners as also similarly situated person(s) approach the respondent authorities venting out their grievances of discrimination with



regard to conferment of benefits under the relevant Statute/Policy, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Interlocutory Application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

B.Kr./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	31.08.2020
Transmission Date	

