

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15476 of 2018

=====

Ramavatar Lal Son of late Chandrika Prasad, Resident of Village-Post Office and Police Station Roh, District Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Ministry of Home, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commie Commissioner, Provident Fund Department, Vigilance Cell, Provident fund House, 14 Bhikaj null null
4. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
7. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada, District-Nawada.
8. The Headmaster, High School, Roh, District Nawada.
9. Abhisek Kumar @ Mahendra Prasad @ Nato Son of Govind Sao Resident of Village-Post Office and Police Station Roh, Dist. Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Ojha
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey- Aag15
For respondent no.1	:	Mr. S. D. Sanjay (A.S.G.
		Mr. P.P. Prasad Ac to A.S.G
For Union of India	:	Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 03.08.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:



“(i)For issuance of an appropriate writ,order or direction commanding the respondent-authorities to take suitable legal action against the Respondent No.9 who deliberately suppressed his real name and date of birth both and enjoying the Government service under the Government of India.

(ii) For issuance of any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner is found legally entitled to.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law,



before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in
accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

