

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13869 of 2018

-
-
1. Rajesh Kumar Pathak Son of Sri Krishna Kumar Pathak, resident of Vill. P.O.- Dumri Kala, P.S.- Majorganj, Distt.- Sitamarhi.
 2. Randhir Kumar Singh, Son of Sri Kameshwar Singh, resident of Vill.- Ambha Khurd, P.O.- Mohani Mandal, P.S.- Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 3. Sujit Kumar Rai, Son of Shri Mahendra Rai, Resident of Vill.- Simri, P.O.- Yadupatti Bazar, P.S.- Pupri, Distt.- Sitamarhi.
 4. Vinod Kumar, Son of Shri Ramchandra Bhagat, resident of Vill.- Bacharpur, P.O.- Kumhra Vishanpur, P.S.- Dumra, Distt.- Sitamarhi.
 5. Gayatri Kumari, Daughter of Late Bhola Choudhary, resident of Vill P.O.- Manik Chowk, P.S.- Runni Saidpur, Distt.- Sitamarhi.
 6. Kaushlendra Kumar Jha, Son of Shri Ramchandra Jha, resident of Vill.- Rusulpur Salim, P.O.- Bhikhanpur, P.S.- Ahiyapur, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.
 7. Vinod Kumar, Son of Shiv Sharan Mahto, Resident of Vill. P.O.- Matiar Kala, P.S.- Sahiyara, Distt.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Director, Secondary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sitamarhi.
7. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
8. The Zila Parishad Sitamarhi through its Chairman.
9. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Sitamarhi through its Member.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Akshansh Ankit, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Smt. Shilpa Singh- GA 12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 26-02-2020

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

In view of the fact that now the petitioners have been appointed, learner counsel for the petitioners is not inclined to press this application.

This application is disposed of as not pressed.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

