

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12689 of 2019**

Ramjee Giri S/o Late Hira Giri Resident of Village-Raja Singh
College,Rajeshwar Singh Mukhiya House,PO and PS and Distt.-Siwan
... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary,Education
Department,Govt.of Bihar,Patna
 2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department,Govt.of Bihar,Vikas
Bhawan,Patna
 3. Jai Prakash University, Chapra,through its Registrar
 4. The Vice-Chancellor, Jai Prakash University,Chapra
 5. The Registrar, Jai Prakash University,Chapra
 6. B.R.A. Bihar University,Muzaffarpur through its Registrar,
 7. The Registrar, B.R.A. Bihar University,Muzaffarpur
 8. The Vice-Chancellor, B.R.A. Bihar University,Muzaffarpur
- Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Shubh Narain Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Roy1, SC13
For the J. P. University	:	Mr. Nagendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the B.R.A. B. University:	:	Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

6 21-09-2020

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

During pendency of the writ application substantial payment
has been made to the petitioner on account of Gratuity, ACP, MACP and
some other admitted amount.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits those payments,
however, by filing a rejoinder the petitioner has raised a grievance in the
following terms:

“6. That it is further mention that the following amounts
have not been paid by respondent no. 4, 5 and 6 (J.P.
University)-
i. Gratuity Ten Lakh paid amount 4,26,657.00
ii. Claim of difference of pay D.A. and others from March
1989 to October 1992.



- iii. D.D.A. from April 1985 to December 1989.
- iv. I.R. from June 1983 to December 1985.
- v. Detail calculation chart.”

In course of argument learned counsel for the petitioner agrees that he will be satisfied if an opportunity is given to the petitioner to represent his grievance before the Registrar, J.P. University (respondent no. 5) within a period of eight weeks from today and the same be considered and disposed of by respondent no. 5 within a reasonable period.

Mr. Nagendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel representing the University submits that if such a representation is filed the same will be considered.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case this writ application is being disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise his grievance with regard to the claims made in paragraph ‘6’ of the rejoinder within eight weeks before respondent no. 5 who will look into the same and shall consider the claims within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the same.

If the claim is admitted either in full or in part, the admitted payment shall be made within one months after disposal of the same. However, in case the claims are denied, respondent no. 5 shall inform the petitioner with reasons thereof within said three months from the date of submission of the representation.

This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading ‘Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period’.

