

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9575 of 2018**

Chhabikala Devi W/O Late Hira Lal Tanti, R/O- 4H/21, Bhhotnath Road,
Near Amarnath Temple, Police Station- Agam Kuan, Distict- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Treasury officer, Vikas Bhawan, Secretariat Treasury, Patna.
4. The State Bank of India, through the Assistant General Manager 4th Floor, Administrative office Judges Court Road, Patna.
5. The State Bank of India, N.M.C.H. Branch, Patna through its Chief Manager.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad -SC-8

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

4 01-06-2020 This application has been placed for consideration

by the order of the Hon'ble the Chief Justice through virtual

court proceeding.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Anil
Kumar, AC to SC-8 and Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel
representing the Bank.

After passing of the order by the learned co-ordinate
Bench on 05.12.2018 it appears that the Bank has refunded the
excess amount and the same has been credited in the account of
the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel for the Bank has



made a categorical statement that a sum of Rs. 14,12,223/- has been refunded to the petitioner on 18.02.2019. Learned counsel further submits that since there has been some confusion with respect to the date of death of the husband of the petitioner, the Bank has yet not deducted the deductible amount under the punishment order dated 07.09.2015. The Bank has sought clarification from the concerned respondent and as soon as the same would be available to the Bank, the deductions as per the modified order shall be given effect.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statements made on behalf of the Bank, now the petitioner's grievance stands redressed.

This Court, therefore, disposes of this writ application in view of the statement made on behalf of the Bank and the stand of learned counsel for the petitioner saying that on such refund of the amount the petitioner has now no grievance .

The writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/Rajeev

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

