

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1419 of 2018

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8855 of 2017

Kr. Horo Roja Daughter of Late Thomas Horo, Resident of House No. 365,
Judge Colony, Kalyanpur, Hatiya, Ranchi Jharkhand.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, through Principal Secretary (Sanjay Kumar), Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal, Government Ayurvedic College and Hospital, Patna Government of Bihar Dr. Dineshwar Prasad.
3. The Union of India through Secretary Anil Swaroop, Ministry of Human Resources and Development, Government of India, New Delhi (Kendriya Vidhyalaya Sangathan).
4. Sh. K.V. Eapen, The Secretary, Department of Pension and Pensioners Welfare, Government of India, 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market , New Delhi.
5. Shri Santosh Kumar Mall, The Commissioner, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan Head Quarter, New Delhi.
6. Santosh Kumar, The Assistant Commissioner, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, Regional Office, Patna.
7. Shri M. Arumugum, Joint Commissioner, Finance Superintendent Accounts, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangat
8. Manoj Rawtani, Finance Officer, Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan, New Delhi.
9. D.D. Pathak, Principal, Kendriya Vidyalaya, D.L.W. Varanasi.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Ms. Anima Loisa Topno, Advocate
For the O.P.-State	:	Mr.Ajay Bihari Sinha - Ga 8
		Mr. Kalpana, AC to GA-8



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-02-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. This application has been preferred by the petitioner under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiation of contempt proceeding against opposite party nos. 4 to 8 for their willful non-compliance to the order passed by this Court on 30.10.2017 in CWJC No.8855 of 2017.

3. Order dated 30.10.2017 passed in CWJC No.8855 of 2017 reads as under:-

“After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present writ petition in order to file a representation before the concerned respondent.

Leave is granted.

The writ petition is disposed of.”

4. In absence of any direction issued to the opposite parties, no question of initiation of contempt proceeding arises.



5. The application, being devoid of any merit,
is dismissed.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

kanchan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.02.2020
Transmission Date	NA

