

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8755 of 2020

=====

Santosh Kumar Verma Son of Late Madan Mohan Prasad Verma, Resident of
Mohalla-Budh Murti, West Lohanipur, P.S.-Kadamkuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Vishesharaiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Vishesharaiya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Secretary Cum Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Virendra Kuar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Lalit Kishore (AG)
		Mr. Manish Kumar, GP4
		Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Rajni Kant Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 09-11-2020

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“For issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions in the nature of mandamus for commanding and directing to the respondent authorities permit eligible citizen degree holder Engineers who declared passed in the year 2019 and 2020 to participate in Examination which is going to held pursuant to Advertisement no. 2 of 2019 issued by the Bihar Public Service Commission, Bihar,



Patna for filling up the post of Assistant Engineer Electrical under the Building construction Department and accordingly modify the said advertisement. And for the other necessary relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled to in accordance with law.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to approach the appropriate authorities venting out the grievances.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that if such a representation is filed by the petitioner, the authority concerned shall consider and dispose it of expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months from the date of its filing along with a copy of this order.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



Needless to add, while considering such representation, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

We have not expressed any opinion on merits.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	11.11.2020
Transmission Date	

