

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL REVISION No.654 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2017 Thana- HARLAKHI District- Madhubani

RISHI KUMAR @ GAUTAM RISHI Son of Vindal Ray Guardianship of his
Father Vindal Ray, Resident of Village - Gamhariya, P.S.- Harlakhi, Dist.-
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Jai Narain Thakur

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 21-01-2020 By means of this revision, the petitioner has questioned the legality of the order dated 26.10.2018 passed by 1st Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Madhubani in Cr. Appeal No. 52 of 2018, whereby and whereunder the appeal against the order dated 22.12.2017 passed by Juvenile Justice Board, Madhubani in Harlakhi P.S. Case No. 185 of 2017 by which the prayer for bail of the petitioner has been rejected, has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the orders passed by both the Courts below are illegal and arbitrary. Both the Courts below have not considered the provisions of Section 12 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 in its true spirit. It is



further submitted that there is nothing in the report of the Child Welfare Police Officer to indicate that after being released on bail there is likelihood of the petitioner coming into association with any known criminal or his release would expose him to moral, physical or psychological danger or his release would defeat the ends of justice. It is settled law that gravity of offence will not be considered while deciding bail application of a juvenile.

Having heard the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the report of the Child Welfare Police Officer as also taking into consideration the welfare of the petitioner with a hope that he may recover himself after being released on bail, this Court feels it expedient in the interest of justice that his prayer for bail be allowed.

In view of the discussions made above, this revision is allowed. Both the impugned orders passed by Juvenile Justice Board as well as Lower Appellate Court are quashed and the petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Juvenile Justice Board, Madhubani in



connection with Harlakhi P.S. Case No. 185 of 2017 subject to the condition that father of the petitioner will take care of his education and betterment and will not allow him to indulge in any criminal activity and will keep constant check on his activities. Both the sureties are directed to be close relatives of the petitioner.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

