

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1746 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16224 of 2016

=====

Adya Nand Prasad Gupta son of Sri Sharda Nand Prasad Gupta resident of Christian Colony, Kurji More Village Kurji, P.O. Sadaquat Ashram, District Patna.

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Craftsman Training Scheme-cum-Director of Employment & Training, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna 800015
2. The State Director, Craftsman Training Scheme-cum-Director, Employment and Training, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna 800015
3. The Union of India through Director, Directorate General, Employment and Training, Department of Labour, Ministry of Labour & Rehabilitation, Government of India, Rafi Marg, New Delhi.
4. The Controller of Examination, Directorate of Employment and Training, Government of Bihar, I.T.I. Campus, P.S. Digha , District Patna

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Manoranjan Kumar Sinha-1, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Kr.Rastogi -AAG 10
For Union of India	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey, Sr. C.G.C

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

6 07-01-2020 The present memorandum of appeal is directed against the order dated 14.11.2017 passed in CWJC No. 16224 of 2016 by the learned Single Judge whereby the writ petition was disposed of as having become infructuous.

In so far as the limitation is concerned, the period for which the appellant has been pursuing the present appeal shall



not be counted for the purposes of limitation.

We find that the issue raised in the present appeal has not been considered by the learned Single Judge. As such, in our considered view, the appellant must avail the remedy by way of review petition.

The appeal stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

