

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23306 of 2018

=====

Rajendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Rameshwar Singh Resident of Village
Bishunpur, P.O.P.S- Parsa, District - Saran Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Sachivalya, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Forest Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Conservator-cum-Managing Director, Bihar State Forest Development Corporation Ltd. Lalit Bhawan Bailey Road, New Punaichak, Patna.
4. The Divisional Manger Forest Project Bellbag Bettiah, West Champaran.
5. The Divisional Manger Small Forest Mineral Project, Division Gadhwa.
6. The Divisional Manager Small Forest Mineral Project, Division Hajaribad, Jharkhand.
7. The Forest Project Zone officer, Muzaffarpur.
8. The Incharge, Dipu Bada Telpa, Forest Project Department Chhapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Surendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE 2VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 10-07-2020

This matter has been taken up through video
conference.

2. The writ petition has been filed for “*issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, direction the respondents to give the dues retiral all benefit as L.I.C. GPF, gratuity, arrear dues. Family pension fixed with other 1,50,000/- amounts paid to the petitioner (only) along with all dues amounts pay with penal interest to the petitioner and further issuance of my other*



appropriate order and direction of the respondents as it may be deem fit and proper the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- (Rs. one lac fifty thousand) only has been received till date, but the retiral benefits to which he is entitled have not been paid in full.

4. None appears on behalf of any of the respondents when the matter is called.

5. Having regard to the grievance of the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority/ies with a fresh representation for redressal of his grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks hereof, the same shall be considered and disposed of on its own merits in accordance with law and after grant of an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner expeditiously and in any event preferably within a further period of twelve weeks thereafter.

6. It is also made clear that in view of the ongoing lockdown and Covid-19 pandemic, any correspondence between the parties may be made through email and that



the concerned authority shall be at liberty to hear the
petitioner through video conference.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	14. 07.2020
Transmission Date	

