

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.277 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10756 of 2010

=====

Alka Kumari @ Alka Devi Wife of Niraj Kumar Singh Resident of Village-
Bhojpurwa, P.O.-Gopalganj, P.S.-Manjha, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department,
Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan,
Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Gopalganj
6. The District Superintendent of Education Officer, Gopalganj.
7. The Block Development Officer, Barauli, District-Gopalganj
8. The Block Education Extension Officer, Barauli, District-Gopalganj
9. The District Teacher Employment Appellate authority, Gopalganj.
10. The Gram Panchayat Raj Salempur (West), through its Secretary i.e.
Panchayat Secretary
11. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Salempur (West), Barauli, District-
Gopalganj
12. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Salempur (West), Block-
Barauli
13. Rakesh Kumar Son of Suresh Prasad At Present Panchayat Teacher, Newly
created Primary School, Barai Tola, Block-Barauli, District-Gopalganj.
14. Arbind Kumar Pandey Son of Dewendra Pandey, Resident of Village-
Sarafara, P.O.-Batardih, P.S.-Barauli, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed present review application



on 02.07.2018 seeking review of an order dated 28.08.2014 passed in CWJC No. 10756 of 2010.

3. On careful perusal of the application seeking review, it is easily noticeable that no ground at all has been taken which could be the basis for review of the aforesaid order.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has referred to certain developments which had taken place after the order of this Court dated 28.08.2014 was passed. However, no case of an error apparent on the face of the record has been made out.

5. This review application, in my opinion has no merit and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

S.Ali/-

U			
---	--	--	--

