

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26859 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-610 Year-2019 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

=====

Raju Kumar, aged about 24 Years, (Male) Son of Gyaneshwar Paswan,
Resident of Village- Kutubpur, Bajitpur, Police Station- Bidupur, District-
Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-10-2020 Heard Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, learned counsel

appearing for the State through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Mahua P.S. Case No. 610 of 2019 registered for the offence
under Section 394 of the I.P.C. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The allegation as per the First Information Report is
that while informant was going on his motorcycle he was
intercepted by 03 miscreants, who fired upon the informant and
snatched the motorcycle and other valuables. Due to the said
firing made by the criminals, the informant received injuries on
his wrist, stomach and thigh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged
and he is not named in the F.I.R. Learned counsel further
submits that the fact of the matter is that the petitioner was
found moving on the snatched motorcycle, which is the subject



matter of this case along with one Aditya Thakur, for which Bidupur P.S. Case No. 433 of 2019 has been registered in which the petitioner has been released on bail. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has been remanded in this case on the basis of his confessional statement in the present case on 10.01.2020 and since then he is in custody. Learned counsel further submits that no T.I.P. has been conducted by the Police despite the fact that petitioner is in custody since 10.01.2020 and except the motorcycle, which was recovered, there is no material against the petitioner to connect the petitioner with the present offence.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials available on record, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to this petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for grant of regular bail stands rejected.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after nine months if the trial does not show any progress.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

