

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4177 of 2013

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.282 of 2013

-
-
1. Sunita Kumari W/O Hare Ram Safi, resident of Village - Sohpur (Narahiya), P.S. Laukahi, District - Madhubani
 2. Shobhakant Mallick S/O Ghuran Mallick, resident of Village - Kariaut, P.S. Laukahi, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Ajay Kumar Choudhary S/O name not known to the petitioner, at present working as Principal Secretary (Primary Education) Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Vinod Kumar Bimal S/O name not known to the petitioner, at present working as District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
4. Mr. Kunj Bihari Singh S/O name not known to the petitioner, at present working as Block Development Officer, Fulparas (sic) Laukahi, District – Madhubani.
5. Mr. Md. Muzaffar Imam Khan S/O name not known to the petitioner, at present working as Block Education Extension Officer, Fulparas (sic), Laukahi, District – Madhubani.
6. Madhu Bharati W/O name not known to the petitioner, at present working as the Pramukh, Fulparas Panchayat Samiti (sic) Laukahi, District – Madhubani.
7. The Block Teachers Selection Committee, Fulparas (sic) Laukahi through Mr. Md. Muzaffar Imam Khan, BEO, Fulparas (sic) Laukahi, District – Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kripa Nand Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anjani Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

12 13-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioners filed this petition for initiation of contempt
proceeding against the opposite parties for disobeying the order



dated 11.01.2013 passed in C.W.J.C. No.282 of 2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this court by order dated 11.01.2013 directed the respondents to issue appointment letters to the petitioners subject to there being no other legal bar to the issuance of the same. It is further submitted that from perusal of waiting list, it would appear that the name of petitioner no.1 figured at serial no.152 but Geeta Kumari, whose name figured at serial no.200, has been appointed but it appears that Geeta Kumari got 74.33% marks whereas petitioner no.1 Sunita Kumari got 51% marks. The petitioners have not been able to show that the person, who got less marks than petitioner no.1, has been appointed from the waiting list.

Having considered the facts, I do not find any merit in this contempt petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

If the petitioners are aggrieved by appointment of any person, the petitioners may file fresh writ petition in accordance with law.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Harish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

