

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6761 of 2016

=====

In The Matter of News Report Published In The Dainik Bhaskar, Hindi
Dainik

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Government Of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Principal Secretary Building Construction Department Govt. of Bihar.
4. The Patna High Court, Patna, through its Registrar General.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner :
For the Respondent/s : Mr. P. N. Shahi, AAG 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 01-12-2020

On the basis of news item published on 11.04.2016 in *Dainik Bhaskar* to the effect that Civil Court, Patna, is not being maintained properly and it requires renovation and same was treated as Public Interest Litigation giving rise to CWJC No. 6761 of 2016.

From perusal of the counter affidavit, it appears



that repairing work of the building along with electricity, sanitary such as bathrooms, urinal and drainage system is stated to be done on regular basis. Repairing of toilet and bathroom is being done by Building Construction Department, Patna Division but day to day maintenance is being done by Civil Court administration.

District & Sessions Judge, Patna, is the Chairman of priority Committee to decide on the priority of repair and maintenance of judicial building. All existing toilets are in good condition.

Pursuant to direction issued by this Court in respect of difficulty faced by State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Patna, in its present office, due to water logging, same has been shifted to new Punai Chak, Patna, at a safer place and State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Patna, has started functioning in its new premises without any difficulty.

For the reasons as stated above, no purpose would be served by continuing the present proceeding any further, as such same is disposed of.

Liberty reserved to any public spirited person to



approach the Court, if the need so rises subsequently on the same and subsequent cause of action.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

