

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30087 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2019 Thana- ALOULI District- Khagaria

BHAGWAN DAS Son of Upendra Tanti Resident of Village - Dharharwa,
P.S. Bithan, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2020 Heard Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, Additional Public
Prosecutor for the state through video conferencing.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Alauli PS Case No. 204/2019 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 392 of the IPC and Section 27 of the
Arms Act.

3. The allegation, as per First Information Report, is
that the informant was intercepted by three accused persons
riding on two motorcycles and on the point of gun, he was
deprived of his belongings i.e., a laptop of Samsung make and
Rs. 1,16,890/-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is not named in the FIR and has falsely been
implicated in this case on the basis of confessional statement of



a co-accused, namely, Golu @ Vivek Yadav. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that earlier three cases were registered against the petitioner. However, after arrest of the petitioner in this case, he has been remanded in six other cases on the basis of confessional statement. Learned counsel also submits that no incriminating material has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he has not been put on the TIP as yet.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the parties and taking into consideration the material on record and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner inasmuch as a large number of case are pending against him, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

6. However, petitioner, if so advised, may renew his prayer for bail after six months, if the trial does not record any progress.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

