

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30158 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1404 Year-2006 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

=====

ASHOK KUMAR RAI S/o Late Dashrath Rai R/o Vill.-Jagdishpur, P.S.-
Neema Chandpura, Distt.-Begusarai (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Renu Devi D/o Sri Din Bandhu Mahto R/o Mohalla-Mungeriganj, P.S.-
Town, Distt.-Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Humayu Ahmad Khan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2020 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove all the defects as pointed out by office within four
weeks after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.
Humayu Ahmad Khan, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking pre-arrest
bail in connection with Complaint Case No. 1404(C) of 2006
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A of
the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act, pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M.
Begusarai.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier
regular bail was granted provisionally to the petitioner on
certain terms and conditions but the petitioner had not complied
with and his bail bond was cancelled. Learned counsel submits



that petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case in which it appears to the Court that the petitioner was earlier granted bail by learned Sessions Judge provisionally but then the petitioner had failed to comply with the terms and conditions of bail and his provisional bail bond was cancelled, the admitted submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that such privilege of bail was granted to the petitioner sometimes in the year 2006 – 2007 and since then the petitioner has not appeared/surrendered in connection with this case in the learned court below, this Court is of the considered opinion that this anticipatory bail application is totally misconceived and not fit to be entertained.

This application stands dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

