

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30403 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2019 Thana- LALGANJ District- Vaishali

SANDEEP SAH S/o Late Hari Sah @ Late Harinandan Gupta Resident of
Village- Saidanpur, P.S.- Lalganj, District- Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Niranjan Parihar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2020 As of now the Courts have not resumed normal physical hearing, the matter has been listed today for consideration through Video Conferencing.

The learned counsels are appearing and making submissions from their residence. The Court Master and Secretary are also part of this virtual court proceedings from their homes, all with the aid of audio visual technology.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, APP is appearing for the State as it is submitted that the brief has been allotted to him by the office of Advocate General.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for the State.

This Court would expect that the petitioner's Counsel would honour his undertaking in the instant proceedings regarding supply of requisite court fee etc. within two weeks from the date he is called upon to do so by the office.

Petitioner seeks bail in Lalganj PS Case No. 135 of 2019 registered under Sections 304(B) and 201/34 of the IPC.

The prosecution case alleges that the informant's sister has



been done to death on account of non fulfilment of demand for dowry. The petitioner is the husband.

It is submitted by learned Counsel for the petitioner that the victim died a natural death and that it is a case of false implication. The petitioner is in custody since 13.8.2019.

Learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail. It is submitted that the body of the deceased has been cremated without intimation to the informant as per prosecution case. The petitioner being the husband owes prime responsibility towards safety and dignity of his wife.

Considering the rival submissions, for the present, this Court is not inclined to allow the prayer for bail. The same is rejected.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SNkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

