

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29026 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-15 Year-2020 Thana- SULTANGANJ District- Patna

AINUL ANSARI S/o Late Hatim Ansari Resident of Mohalla-Langar Toli
Chouraha, P.S.-Kadamkuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-12-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 15/2020 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406/420/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per the prosecution case, petitioner executed a sale-deed on 19.12.2017 in favour of informant in respect of the property which was mortgaged with L.I.C. H.F.L. as a result, some officials of the L.I.C. of India, who is mortgagee, pasted the notice on the wall of the house of the informant, in the name of the petitioner who took a loan of Rs. 15,93,190.58 from L.I.C.H.F.L. in the year 2016.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is a government servant of the State of Bihar and due



to suspension for certain period, he could not deposit the E.M.I.
It is further submitted that up till now about 10 lakhs has already
been deposited by the petitioner.

However, learned APP for the State opposes the bail
application and submits that there is allegation against the
petitioner that he executed the sale-deed in respect of the
property which has been mortgaged with the L.I.C.H.F.L. as
such, he does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the aforementioned facts and
circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to this
petitioner, It is, accordingly, rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

