

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12317 of 2018

=====

Sudhir Kumar Ojha @ Sudhir Kumar Son of Sri Krishnadeo Ojha Resident of
Village Lahladpur Patahi, P.S. Muzaffarpur Sadar, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions Department of Personnel and Training, New Delhi
2. The Secretary, Public Grievances and Pensions Department of Personnel and Training, New Delhi.
3. The Director Establishment, Public Grievances and Pensions Department of Personnel and Training, New Delhi
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Railways, Railway Board, Delhi.
5. The Executive Director, Ministry of Railways, Railway Board, Delhi.
6. The Deputy General Manager, Personnel East Central Railways, Headquarter, Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Mr. S.D. Sanjay, ASGI
		Ms. Parul Prasad, A.C. to ASGI
For Respondents 4,5	:	Mr. Shekhar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 Petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:



“(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the office memorandum no. 11013/9/2014-Estt (A-III) dated 21.11.2014 issued under the signature of the Respondent no. 3 whereby and whereunder a decision has been taken to use an AADHAR Enabled Bio-metric Attendance System (in short AEBAS) in all offices of the Central Government including attached/sub-ordinate offices, in India.

(II) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the letter dated 30.12.2014 issued by the Ministry of Railways, Railway Board whereby and whereunder a direction was issued for implementation of AADHAR Enabled Biometric Attendance System in the Indian Railways by 26th January, 2015 and it has also been decided to replicate the same on Zonal Railways in a phased manner.

(III) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the Information-cum-order dated 25.04.2018 issued under the signature of the Respondent no. 6 whereby and whereunder a direction has been issued in case of non-making of attendance on the basis of AADHAR Enabled Biometric Attendance System since 01.05.2018, the employees will be declared on leave.

(IV) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, commanding and directing the Respondent authorities to left free the employees to make their attendance on the basis of AADHAR Enabled Biometric Attendance System.”



Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to
withdraw the petition.

Permission granted.

The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

