

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25274 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2019 Thana- PATORI District- Samastipur

=====

RANJEET KUMAR RAY @ RANJEET KUMAR RAI Son of Amarnath Ray
Resident of Village - Ashrafpur Supaul, P.S. - Patori, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 21-10-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State through video conference. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that all defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter shall be removed, and compliance with the conditions of the notices of this Court with regard to acceptance of e-filing shall be made, without delay immediately upon resumption of normal physical functioning of the Court, and in any event within one month thereof.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 147, 149, 323, 341, 325, 379, 427, 435, 354, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act registered in connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 176 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and there is case and counter case between the parties relating to dispute over a hut. The petitioner had lodged a First Information Report in Patori P.S. Case No. 173 of 2019 (Annexure-2) a day prior to the present FIR. In the present case, the petitioner is alleged to have entered the house of the



informant along with the co-accused persons, fired upon the informant's husband, again fired in the air, disrobed the informant, and took away some cash. It is submitted however that neither any injury has been caused to anyone nor recovery of any incriminating articles have been made to support the allegation of firing by the petitioner. The accusations of misbehaving with the informant and taking away cash are mere embellishment. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and has been heard.

5. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-IV, Samastipur in connection with Patori P.S. Case No. 176 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. if he is not otherwise required in any other case.

6. Office shall follow-up to ensure that all defects are removed and compliance with the notices of this Court are made by the petitioner within the stipulated time provided in para 1 hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

