

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7641 of 2020

Dr. Sharique Hussain, gender male, aged about 52 years, son of Late Prof. Kashif Hussain, Secretary, Dr. Zakir Hussain Teachers Training College, P.O. Laheria Sarai, Police Station- Laheria Sarai, District- Darbhanga (Bihar), permanent address-01 V.I.P. Road, Balbhadrapur, P.S.-Balbhadrapur, district-Darbhangha.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Honble Chancellor, Universities of Bihar.
2. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga through its Registrar.
3. Vice-Chancellor, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga.
4. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. Deputy Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarva Deo Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mrs. Shilpa Singh, GA – 12
		Ms. Abhanjali, AC to GA – 12
		Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 22-12-2020 Heard Mr. Sarva Deo Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mrs. Shilpa Singh, learned G.A. - 12 appearing for the State along with Ms. Abhanjali, learned A.C. to G.A. -12 and Mr. Md. Nadim Seraj, learned counsel for the respondent-Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga through video conferencing.

The present writ application has been filed challenging the notification dated 07.07.2020 contained in Memo No. 173 (Annexure – 1 to this writ application) by which the Government has issued direction to all the Universities for taking admission in M.Ed. Course through common entrance test.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the



respondent -State and referring to paragraph no. – 6 of the counter affidavit learned counsel for the State submits that the impugned resolution is applicable to Government training institutions only and since the petitioner's institution is a private training institution as such the present notification shall not be applicable with regard to admission in M.Ed Course of the petitioner's institution as such the grievance of the petitioner is unfounded.

In view of the statement made in the counter affidavit at paragraph no. 6 , learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press this writ application.

Having heard learned counsel for parties and taking into consideration the statement of the respondent – State made in paragraph no. 6 of the counter affidavit, this writ application is disposed of as not pressed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

