

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27635 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-521 Year-2019 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Chandar Manjhi Son of Jawahar Manjhi Resident of Village- Gandhar, P.S.-
Mufassil, Distt- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prithivi Raj Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2. 20-10-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned A.P.P. for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in Mufassil P.S. Case No.
521 of 2019, registered for the offence under Sections 307, 504,
506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per F.I.R., the allegation against the petitioner is
that he fired upon the son of informant namely Kaju Kumar
Pandey, which hit on his leg.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that F.I.R. has
been lodged after a delay of three days without any sufficient
explanation. In fact, the victim Kaju Kumar Pandey, who was
driving his motorcycle very fast, which was objected by this
petitioner, as a result of which, this false case has been lodged.
In this case, chargesheet has already been submitted. It is further
submitted that the injury of the victim is said to be simple in



nature. It is stated in paragraph – 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 02-01-2020.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the bail application of petitioner is allowed. Let the above-named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No. 521 of 2019 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

