

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1458 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2020 Thana- KAHALGAON District- Bhagalpur

ASHOK KUMAR GUPTA Son of Late Banarsi Prasad Gupta Resident of
Village/Mohalla - LCD Ghat Road, Sikadgarh Tola, Kahalgaon, P.S. -
Kahalgaon, Distt. - Bhagalpur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Swapnil Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-11-2020 Due to COVID-19 Pandemic, the matter is being taken
up by way of Virtual Court proceeding.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
counsel for the State.

A mention slip has been filed on behalf of the appellant
stating that the appellant was granted bail by this Court vide
order dated 01.10.2020 and in the said order, the name of the
father of the appellant has been stated as 'late Banarsi Prasad
Gupta' but the informant in the F.I.R. has mentioned the name of
the father of the appellant as 'Jagdish Gupta'. By the said order,
the appellant was granted bail to the satisfaction of learned
A.D.J.-3rd-cum- Special Judge, SC/St Act, Bhagalpur in
connection with Kahalgaon P.S. Case No. 136/2020. Learned



counsel for the appellant further submits that due to difference in the father's name of the appellant, the bail bonds of the appellant has not been accepted by the Court below.

From perusal of the record as well as submission made on behalf of the appellant, it is apparent that the correct name of the father of the appellant is late Banarsi Prasad Gupta and not Jagdish Gupta.

Further, it is clarified that the name of the father of the appellant is Late Banarsi Prasad Gupta and not Jagdish Gupta.

The order dated 01.10.2020 passed in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 1458 of 2020 is clarified to the extent as indicated above.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

