

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23134 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2020 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

Sanjay Ram @ Sanjeet Ram S/o Mahendra Ram Resident of Village-
Koriyapatti Rajgaon, P.S.-Jadiya, District-Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 02-11-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned A.P.P. for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in Bhargama P.S. Case No.
26 of 2020, registered for the offence under Section 394 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per the prosecution case, on 24-02-2020 at about
8:30 PM, while the informant was at his company office, four
unknown miscreants with fire-arms entered into the office and
on the point of pistol, looted away cash of Rs. 76,065/- and two
mobile phones and thereafter, all fled away by opening fire in
air.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner is
not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has come on the
basis of information given by a spy and further in confessional
statement of co-accused Shyam Sundar Yadav. It is further



submitted that no looted article has been recovered from the possession of petitioner. Chargesheet has already been submitted. The petitioner is in custody since 21-03-2020.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the bail application of petitioner is allowed. Let the above-named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No. 26 of 2020 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

