

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27408 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-544 Year-2019 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Praveen Soni @ Pravistra @ Pravinda, Son of Late Asarfi Soni, Resident of
Village- Haibatpur Koriya, P.S.- Muffasil, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 25-11-2020 Since as of now the Courts have not resumed

normal physical hearing, the matter has been listed today

for consideration through video conferencing.

The learned counsels are appearing and making
submissions from their residence.The Court Master and
Secretary are also part of this virtual Court proceedings
from their homes, all with the aid of audio visual
technology.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Begusarai Muffasil P.S. Case No.544 of 2019 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 386, 506 and 34 of



the I.P.C., which is pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Begusarai.

The prosecution case is that the petitioner after committing murder of one Chandrakala Devi has started intimidating the informant and collected *Rangdari* from his mother.

It is submitted by the petitioner's counsel that the recovery of the money alleged from the petitioner is false as the same belongs to him. The motorcycle which is shown in the seizure list also belongs to the petitioner. He submits that it is a case of false implication as the petitioner is the next door neighbour of the informant. The petitioner is in custody since 08.11.2019.

Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, submits that there is a direct allegation against the petitioner of collecting *Rangdari* from the informant. The alleged amount of *Rangdari* is Rs.40,000/- and out of which Rs.38,5000/- has been seized from the petitioner. The petitioner bears criminal antecedents, though it is submitted by the petitioner's counsel that he has been acquitted in some of the cases and is on bail in other cases. Learned APP thus submits that the petitioner does not deserve bail.



Considering the rival submissions, this Court, for the present, is not inclined to allow the petitioner's prayer for bail. The same is rejected.

This Court would expect that the petitioner's counsel would honour his undertaking in the instant proceedings regarding supply of the requisite court fee etc. within two weeks from the date he is called upon to do so by the office.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--

