

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23498 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2017 Thana- EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- East Champaran

GAJENDRA PANDIT S/o Yogendra Pandit Resident of Village-Baluha Banjaraha, P.S.-Kundwa Chainpur, District-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rema Devi Wife of Gajendra Pandit Resident of Village-Baluha Banjaraha, P.S.-Kundwa Chainpur, District-East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan
For the informant	:	Mr. Pravin Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2020 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State, through Video Conferencing.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Trial No. 2300 of 2018, arising out of Complaint Case No. C-17 of 2017, in which cognizance has been taken for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A/504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The allegation against the petitioner, as per the complaint petition, is that the marriage of Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with the petitioner eight years prior to the filing



of the compliant petition and after six months of the marriage, the petitioner started demanding one motorcycle by way of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the said demand, the Opposite Party No. 2 was being tortured physically as well as mentally.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the Opposite Party No. 2, who are husband and wife, have amicably settled all their disputes and at present, the Opposite Party No. 2 is living in the house of the petitioner with due honour and dignity.

Learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 confirms the statement made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that both the parties have arrived at a compromise and are living together.

After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the petitioner and the Opposite Party No. 2, after settling their disputes, are living together, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

This application is allowed.

Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the



Court below within a period of six weeks from today, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sikrahana, at Dhaka, East Champaran, in connection with Trial No. 2300 of 2018, arising out of Complaint Case No. C-17 of 2017.

It is made clear that at the time of furnishing bail bonds, all the parties shall follow the guidelines regarding social distancing.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	✓	T	✓
---	---	---	---

