

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7242 of 2020

Pragya Devi @ Pragya Kumari @ Pragya Dube, Wife of Kamala Kant Dube,
Resident of Village-Paranpur, Ward No. 12, Gram Panchayat-Karanj, Block-
Dinara, Sub Division-Bikramganj, District-Rohtas (Sasaram). ... Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S.), Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Department of Welfare, Patna, Bihar.
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), Rohtas at Sasaram.
6. Sub Divisional Officer, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
7. Block Development Officer, Dinara, Rohtas.
8. The Child Development Project Officer (I.C.D.S.), Block-Dinara, Rohtas (Sasaram).
9. The Mahila Supervisor, the Child Development Project Officer, Block-Dinara, Rohtas (Sasaram).
10. The Chairman-cum-Ward Member, Sevika-Sahayika, Selection Committee, Anganbari Centre No. 58, Ward No. 12, Village-Paranpur, Gram Panchayat-Karanj, Block-Dinara, District-Rohtas (Sasaram).
11. The Vice Chancellor-cum-Ward Panch, Sevika-Sahayika, Selection Committee, Anganbari (Centre No. 58, Ward No. 12, Village-Paranpur, Gram Panchayat-Karanj, Block-Dinara, Rohtas (Sasaram).
12. The Secretary, Member-cum-Mahila Supervisor, Sevika-Sahayika, Selection Committee, Anganbari (Centre No. 58, Ward No. 12, Village-Paranpur, Gram Panchayat-Karanj, Block-Dinara, Rohtas (Sasaram).
13. The Selection Committee, Anganbari (Centre No. 58), Ward No. 12, Village-Paranpur, under Gram Panchayat-Karang, Block-Dinara, Rohtas (Sasaram) through its Secretary.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saroj Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Lalit Kishore (Ag)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner is aggrieved by preparation of merit list for
appointment of Aganbari Sevika of Ward No.12.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to appointment as the majority of population of Ward No.12 is general category and the petitioner is only candidate belonging to general category. Manifold submissions have been advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has remedy by way of appeal which is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the appellate authority by way of filing appeal alongwith a copy of this order within a period of one month from today and on filing of such appeal, the appellate authority will decide the appeal on its own merit after condoning the delay, if any, at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such appeal.

Any selection, in the meanwhile, shall abide by final outcome of the appeal proposed to be filed by the petitioner.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

