

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7223 of 2020**

Navayuga Engineering Company Limited, having its registered office at 48-9-17, Dwarakanagar, Vishakhapatnam- 530016 through its Authorized Representative Vankayalapati Sreenivas, Male, Aged about 48 yrs, S/o Late Venu Gopala Krishna, Resident of Plot no. Near Chand memorial Hospital Patliputra Colony, Patna, Bihar 800013.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited through its Managing Director, Office at RCD Central Mechanical Workshop Campus, Sheikhpura, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, The Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited, having its registered office at office at RCD Central Mechanical Workshop Campus, Sheikhpura, Patna.
5. The Chief General Manager, The Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited, having its registered office at RCD Central Mechanical Workshop Campus, Sheikhpura, Patna.
6. The General Manager, The Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited, having its registered office at RCD Central Mechanical Workshop Campus, Sheikhpura, Patna.
7. The Deputy General Manager, The Bihar State Road Development Corporation Limited, having its registered office at RCD Central Mechanical Workshop Campus, Sheikhpura, Patna.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.D.Sanjay, Sr. Advocate
For the Corporation	:	Mr.Lalit Kishore, Sr. Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Subodh Kumar, A.C. to S.C.-26

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

8 15-12-2020 Heard Mr. S.D. Sanjay, learned Senior Counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Lalit Kishore, learned Senior Counsel

representing the Bihar State Road Development Corporation



Limited and its authorities. Mr. Subodh Kumar, learned A.C. to S.C.-26 appears for the State.

During the pendency of the writ application, the parties have sat together and they have resolved their disputes and now the prayers made in the writ application have lost their significance and in view of the statements made by learned Senior Counsel for the parties that now the work is going on as per schedule of work, this Court thinks it just and proper to dispose of the writ application as having become infructuous with one expectation that the work shall continue as per the schedule of work to which the parties have duly agreed at the bar.

Let it be recorded that this Court has not gone into the merits of the case and all contentions of the parties for any cause of action which may arise in future remain open.

Applications stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

