

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23026 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2019 Thana- LAUKAHI District- Madhubani

BADAL KUMAR S/o Late Mahendar Paswan @ Mahendra Paswan Resident
of Village- Nirmla, P.S.- Nirmla, Distt- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratanakar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

4 20-10-2020 Heard Mr. Ratnakar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor appearing for the State through video

conferencing.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Laukahi P.S. Case No. 191 of 2019 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

The allegation as per the First Information Report is
that when the informant was returning to his village on
Motorcycle, he was accosted by four unknown persons riding on
two Motorcycles who snatched purse containing Rs.7,000/-
cash, papers of the vehicle and Mobile phone of Redme
company of the informant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged



and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is not named in the First Information Report and no incriminating material has been recovered from his possession.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and referring to the case diary submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedents and three cases of similar nature are pending against him and his name has come in the confessional statement of co-accused who vividly described the occurrence committed by him and others.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, prayer for regular bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months if the trial does not show any progress.

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

