

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26699 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-350 Year-2018 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

SINTU YADAV Son of Satto Yadav Resident of Village- Shankarpur, P.S.-
Kotwali, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kamal Kishore Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2020 This matter is taken up for consideration through

Video Conferencing under the orders of Hon'ble the Chief

Justice.

Heard both parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Muffasil P.S. Case No.

350 of 2018, registered for the offence punishable under

Sections 342, 323, 307, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian

Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per prosecution case, while the informant was

going to purchase some articles, petitioner along with co-

accused stopped the informant, snatched his chain made of gold

and caught hold of the informant and ordered the co-accused

Kanhaiya Yadav to kill the informant. Thereafter, Kanhaiya shot

fire upon him which hit his abdomen.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Specific allegation of firing is against other co-accused Kanhaiya Yadav. This petitioner is alleged to be the order giver. Charge sheet has already been submitted. Petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2019.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Munger in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 350 of 2018, subject to following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

