

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9239 of 2020

=====

Imteyaz Ahmad son of Late Badruzama Ansari resident of Village and P.O.-
Khiri, Police Station- Rajpur, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Education Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Buxar.
3. The District Education Officer, Buxar.
4. Bihar State Madarsha Education Board, Patna through its Secretary.
5. Secretary, Bihar State Madarsha Education Board, Patna.
6. Managing Committee of Zamia Rahmaniya Samsul Ullum through its
Chairman, Khiri, Police Station- Rajpur, District- Buxar.
7. Secretary, Zamia Rahmaniya Samsul Ullum, Khiri, Police Station- Rajpur,
District- Buxar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Prasad Singh, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Shilpa Singh GA-12

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 16-12-2020

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

"That this is an application for issuance of an
appropriate writ/writs setting aside the office order dated
12.06.2019 issued under the signature of the Secretary, Bihar



State Madarsa Board by which Managing Committee of Madarsa No. 1068 Zamia Rahmaniya Samsul Ullum, Khiri, Buxar, has been approved in violation of provisions contained in Section 7(n) of the Bihar State Madarsa Education Board Act, 1981, hereinafter referred to as the Madarsa Board Act and or to grant any other relief or reliefs for which petitioner is legally entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case."

After the matter was heard for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the **respondent no. 3 District Education Officer**, to consider and decide the representation with respect to grievances raised in such representation.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that if such representation is filed before the **respondent no. 3 District Education Officer**, same shall be considered and decided within a period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation in accordance with law.

Equally liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Liberty reserved to petitioner to approach the court, if the need so arises subsequently on the same and subsequent cause of action.



The writ petition is accordingly, disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

