

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26385 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2019 Thana- BATH District- Bhagalpur

=====

RUPA PANJIKAR, Wife of Ajay Kumar Panjekar @ Kanaihiya, Resident of
Village- Kaitha, P.S.- Shambhuganj, District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Nandad Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Humayou Ahmad Khan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 01-12-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State through virtual court proceedings.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 201 of the Indian Penal
Code and 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner alongwith other
accused persons is of killing the husband of the informant by
gun shot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this
case. The petitioner is in jail custody since 04.05.2019. The
petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail
petition.

A report was called for vide order dated 12.10.2020
and the same has been received from the court of learned



Additional District and Sessions Judge-IX, Bhagalpur regarding the stage of trial in Sessions Trial No. 492/2019 related to Bath P.S. Case No. 16/2019, which is kept at **Flag 'R'** in which it is stated that six witnesses have been examined till date and the witnesses examination of I.O. and one public witness are remained. Summon against the rest witnesses have been issued.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected in connection with Sessions Trial No. 492/2019 (corresponding to Bath P.S. Case No. 16/2019 pending in the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-XI, Bhagalpur.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

The trial court is directed to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of nine months from today and both the parties are directed to extend their full cooperation in early conclusion of the trial. If the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

