

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21159 of 2020**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2017 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

=====

Sharvan Kamat @ Shravan Kamat, Son of Bhuvneshwar Kamat, Resident of
Village- Barail, P.S. and Distt.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Madhav Jha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 23-09-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
A.P.P. for the State through Video Conferencing.

The petitioner is accused in connection with Supaul P.S.
Case No. 135 of 2017, registered under Section 304(B)/34 of the
Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Supaul.

The accusation is that informant, Meena Devi,
performed the marriage of her daughter before three years with
Shravan Kamat (petitioner) according to Hindu custom and she went
in Bidai at her Sasural. After some time, she was blessed with one
male issue but thereafter, she was being tortured for demand of
dowry, regarding which, Panchayati was arranged but her daughter
was removed from her matrimonial house. One month before,
petitioner took her daughter in Bidai but later on, on 07.03.2017, she



came to know that her daughter has been killed and in-laws of her daughter are going to dispose of her dead body.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is the husband of the deceased, daughter of the informant and at the time of occurrence, petitioner was out side for his livelihood and he was not present at the place of occurrence village. Further submission is that petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2020 and on investigation, the charge sheet has already been submitted against him. In the postmortem report, no any external injury was found on the person of the deceased.

Admittedly, the deceased, wife of the petitioner, died within four years of her marriage at her Sasural and there is allegation of demand of dowry against the husband and in-laws of the deceased.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of bail stands rejected.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

