

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22749 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2019 Thana- Baniyapur District- Saran

=====

Chandan Kumar Singh @ Chandan Singh, S/o Gotam Singh, R/o Village-
Ram Kala, P.S. Baniyapur, District-Saran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-11-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State via video conferencing.

2. By way of the instant application, the petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of bail in connection with Baniyapur P.S Case No. 110 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 489-A, 489-B, 489-C and 489-D read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that many other co-accused having more or less similar allegations have been granted bail by different Benches of this Court. He contended that co-accused Niraj Kumar Singh and Matendra Kumar Singh have been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.11.2019 passed in Cr. Misc.



No. 58403 of 2019. Similarly, co-accused Santosh Singh has been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.09.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 40576 of 2019. According to him, another co-accused Dhiraj Kumar Singh has also been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 27.09.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 44366 of 2019.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State submitted that from the order-sheet by which the other co-accused persons were granted bail by other Benches of this Court, it does not appear that they had any past criminal antecedent. However, from paragraph-3 of the application, it would be evident that the petitioner has been made accused in four other cases of serious nature out of which one is under Sections 489-A, 489-B and 489-C read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

5. Regard being had to the alleged recovery of 74,000/- fake currency notes from the possession of the petitioner coupled with his criminal antecedent, I am not persuaded to take a different view of the matter. The prayer for bail is rejected once again.

6. Since the court proceedings are being conducted through virtual mode and normal court functioning has not been



restored till date, it is considered appropriate to adopt the following procedure for communication of the present order:-

- (i) The order, which has been dictated during the course of proceeding of the virtual court, shall be communicated to me on my e-mail by the Sr. Secretary.
- (ii) The corrected copy of the order shall be transmitted by me from my e-mail id to the Sr. Secretary, which shall be treated to be an authentic copy of the order passed by this Court in the present proceeding.
- (iii) Hard copy of the order duly signed by me shall be preserved in my residential office for documentation and future use, if any.
- (iv) Let steps be taken by the Sr. Secretary/registry for uploading of the present order without compromising with the norms of social distancing.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

rohit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

