

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7089 of 2020

=====

Suraj Deo Singh Son of Late Darbari Singh, Residen of Village-
Chhitnawan, Police Station- Maner, Sub Division-Danapur, District-Patna,
Bihar-800002.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, 2nd Floor, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, 2nd Floor, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Director (Industries), Department of Industries, Government of Bihar, 2nd Floor, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. State of Jharkhand thorough the Secretary, Department of Industries, Governmen of Jharkhand, 2nd Floor, New FFp Building, Project Bhawan, Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
5. The Secretary, Department of Industries, Government of Jharkhand, 2nd Floor New FFp Building, Project Bhawan, Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
6. The General Manager, District Industry Center, Daltonganj, Department of Industries, Government of Jharkhand.
7. The General Manager, District Industry Center, Palamu, Department of Industries, Government of Jharkhand.
8. The Account General, Bir Chand Patel Path, Patna. Bihar.
9. The State of India through the Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, Judges Court Road, Patna, District-Patna.
10. The Zonal Manager, Zonal Office, State Bank of India Judges Court Road, Patna, District-Patna.
11. The Branch Manager, State Vabk of India, Danapur Branch, District-Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-09-2020 Heard Mr. Sanjeet Kumar, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Rakesh Kumar

 Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Bank.



Counsel for the petitioner admits that certain excess amount has been paid to the petitioner and the Bank is justified in recovering the excess amount. He informs the Court that the Bank has decided to fix instalments for recovery of the excess amount paid but instead of recovering the excess amount in instalment, they have kept the Account of the petitioner on hold.

Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Bank to release the Bank account of the petitioner from hold and start deducting instalments fixed by the Bank and ensure payment of balance amount of pension to the petitioner so that the petitioner may survive by receiving remaining amount of pension after deduction of instalment amount fixed for recovery of excess payment made to the petitioner. The entire exercise including payment to the petitioner in terms of their own decision of recovery of excess amount in instalment, must be completed within a period of one month from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order so that the balance amount is paid to the petitioner after deducting the instalment so fixed for recovery of the excess amount.



With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

