

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19876 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2020 Thana- MAHILA P.S District- Supaul

=====

RAUSHAN KUMAR @ ROSHAN KUMAR, Son of Dilip Ram, Resident of
Village - Parsarama, P.S. and District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 02-09-2020 The matter has been taken up through virtual Court
proceeding.

Let the defects, if any, be removed within fifteen days
of the start of the physical Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 341, 354(B), 354(D), 292,
506, 509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 4/18 of the
POCSO Act, 2012 as well as Sections 67(B), 67(C) of the
Information Technology Act, 2000.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he edited
photograph of a minor girl and made it some sexual coloured
and, later on, got it viral on the e-platform.

Initially, learned counsel for the petitioner submits



that both were in affairs, however, learned counsel declined to produce any material that the girl had ever proposed to the petitioner either through writing or any message.

Considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge him on bail in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 26 of 2020 corresponding to POCSO Case No. 19 of 2020 pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-1-cum-Special Judge, Supaul.

Hence, prayer for bail is refused.

Petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months or after framing of the charge whichever is earlier.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

