

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26288 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-45 Year-2020 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

=====

ANKIT KUMAR @ SANJEET KUMAR S/o Ram Janam Sharma Resident of
Village-Neem Bigha, P.S-Main, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Part

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 19-10-2020 As of now the Courts have not resumed normal physical

hearing, the matter has been listed today for consideration through

Video Conferencing.

The learned counsels are appearing and making
submissions from their residence. The Court Master and Secretary
are also part of this virtual court proceedings from their homes, all
with the aid of audio visual technology.

Mr. Shantanu Kumar, APP is appearing for the State as it
is submitted that the brief has been allotted to him by the office of
Advocate General. Henceforward his name may also be printed in the
cause list.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Counsel for the State.

This Court would expect that the petitioner's Counsel
would honour his undertaking in the instant proceedings
regarding supply of requisite court fee etc. within two weeks
from the date he is called upon to do so by the office.

Petitioner seeks bail in Makhdumpur PS Case No. 45 of



2020 registered under Section 392 of the IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The allegation is that while the informant was at the bank Rs. 13,38,870/- has been looted from him in a black bag and the miscreants have also indulged in firing.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that owing to his criminal antecedent he has falsely been implicated in this case.

Learned APP for the State has pointed out from the order of rejection by the court below that the petitioner has been identified by the informant-cum-victim.

Considering the aforesaid submission, facts and circumstances, this Court, for the present, is not inclined to allow the privilege of bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for bail is rejected.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SNkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

