

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6171 of 2020

=====

Ajay Kumar Pandey C/o Raj Kumar Panday, R/o Naya Sabalpur,
Makhdumpur, Bhojpur, Bihar-802163.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chancellor, Universities of Bihar, Through its Principal Secretary, Raj Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Veer Kunwar Singh University, through its Registrar, Ara, Bihar.
4. The Vice-Chancellor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara, Bihar.
5. Director, MBA Department, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara, Bihar.
6. Principal, B.Ed Department, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara, Bihar.
7. University Grants Commission, through its Secretary, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-11002.
8. All India Council for Technical Education through its Secretary, Nelson Mandela Marg, Vasanth Kunj, New Delhi-110067.
9. National Council for Teachers Education through its Member Secretary, G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, Near Metro Station, New Delhi-110075.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ravi Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Lalit Kishore Advocate General Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG 15 Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH)

2 09-06-2020 This matter has been taken up through virtual Court proceeding.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The present *pro bono* petition has been filed by an advocate of Ara Civil Court, challenging the advertisement of



appointment of various teaching and non-teaching posts in Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara. The prayer as stipulated in paragraph 1 of the writ application, reads as under:

“The Petitioner who is an enlightened and responsible Citizen of this Country is a practising advocate by profession at District Court, Ara, Bihar. The petitioner while reading the newspaper named “Prabhat Khabar”, dated 21.05.2020, came across the advertisement was regarding vacancy of Assistant Professors in the courses of M.B.A. and B.Ed. and also, for various other posts i.e. Computer operator, lab-assistant, etc. That while going through the said advertisement, the Petitioner came to know that the qualifications required for an Assistant Professor in the aforementioned courses are different from the criteria as prescribed by the University Grants Commissions (Respondent No.8 herein) in UGC Regulations on Minimum Qualifications For Appointment of Teachers and Other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and Measures for the Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education, 2010 (herein referred as “UGC Regulations, 2010”), AICTE Regulations On Pay Scales, Service Conditions And Minimum Qualifications for the appointment of teachers and other academic staff such as library, physical education and training & placement personnel in technical institutions and measures for the maintenance of standards in technical education



– (degree) regulation, 2019 and NCTE Regulations, 2014, amended as on date. Moreover, with respect to M.B.A., the no. of posts advertised is more than the sanctioned posts which is not permissible.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after some arguments, seeks permission to withdraw this application with a liberty to challenge the said advertisement through some persons whose rights have been violated.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for respondent no. 9 and Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, learned AAG-15 have no objection to such prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

This *pro bono* petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

anil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

