

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19103 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2017 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Sunil Kumar @ Sri Sunil Kumar Son of Shivnath Paswan Resident of Village
- Baijalpur, P.S.- Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Mishra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 13-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under sections 419, 420, 465, 467,
468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that this petitioner
and other 9 persons have been appointed on false TET
examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has already been terminated from service in the year
2016. It has further been submitted that petitioner had applied
for TET Examination and after receiving admit card appeared in
the examination. It has further been submitted that after
verification of document the petitioner has joined his service.
The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he has not
committed any offence at all.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as nature of accusation, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Muzaffarpur in Paroo P.S. Case No. 55 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

