

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19457 of 2020

Subodh Pandit, Son of Late Ramagya Pandit @ Ramadnya Pandit, resident of
Village - Paharpur, P.S. - Jurawanpur, District - Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal

The proceeding of the court are being conduct through Video Conferencing
and the Advocates joined the proceeding through Video Conferencing from
their residence

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with **NDPS**
Case No. 28 of 2018, arising out of Sonepur Rail P.S. Case
No. 165 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under
sections 80, 20 and 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

Earlier the bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order
dated 13.02.2019 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 5951
of 2019.

Allegation is recovery of 20.200 Kg. of Ganja from
possession of three accused.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner is in
custody since 29.10.2018 and similarly placed co-accused
Kamlesh Mahto and Umesh Mahto have been enlarged on bail



by this Court.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount in connection with **N.D.P.S. Case 28 of 2018, arising out of Sonepur Rail P.S. Case 165 of 2018** to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, subject to the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and valid reason, the trial court shall have liberty to cancel his bail bonds.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(3) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence, after his release on bail the trial court shall take steps to cancel his bail bonds.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

