

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18084 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2018 Thana- TARAPUR District- Munger

BIKRAM KUMAR YADAV @ BIKRAM YADAV S/o Jalo Yadav @ Arun
Yadav @ Jaleshwar Yadav Resident of Village-Sotipur, P.S-Bariyarpur,
District-Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jyoti Ranjan Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Meena Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 10-06-2020 The proceedings of the Court are being conducted
through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the
proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 392 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Informant has stated that on 11.10.2018 while he was
returning to his branch after weekly collection, two unknown
miscreants who had covered their face, on the strength of
Arms, snatched the black coloured bag, containing Rs.
1,67,833/-, one Tab of Samsung company, one mobile and his



purse containing his Adhara Card, PAN Card, owner book of vehicle etc.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused namely Vivek Yadav @ Vikky Yadav. Petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Tarapur P.S. Case No. 155 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without



proper and sufficient reason the trial court will
be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the
evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that
case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for
cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/veena

U		T	
---	--	---	--

