

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CIVIL REVIEW No.88 of 2019**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2613 of 2014**

- 
1. The State Of Bihar and Ors through the Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Patna
  2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.
  3. The Secretary-cum- Commissioner Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.
  4. The Engineer-in- Chief-cum- Additional Commissioner-cum- Special Secretary Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.
  5. The Additional Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.
  6. The Joint Secretary Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.
  7. The Deputy Secretary (Vigilance) Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishwesharaiya Bhawan, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Chetan Kumar Markan Son of Late Ramdev Markan Resident of Opposite Uttar Bihar Gramin Bank, Regional Office, Srinagar Hata, Purnia- 854301.
2. The Accountant General (A and E) Beerchand Patel Path, Patna, Bihar.
3. Bihar Public Service Commission through its Chairman,15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg (Bailey Road), Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                               |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Anuj Kumar ( Ac To Gp 24 ) |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Kumar Kaushik              |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 26-02-2020**

Heard the parties.

2. This review petition has been filed on behalf of  
State of Bihar and its authorities for review of judgment and  
order dated 29.08.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 2613 of 2014 by



which writ court had allowed the writ petition filed on behalf of opposite party and order of punishment dated 07.11.2007 passed by the disciplinary authority imposing the punishment of reversion from the post of Executive Engineer to the lowest scale of Assistant Engineer as well as order dated 25.03.2013 passed by the Reviewing Authority was set aside.

3. Learned counsel for the Review petitioner could not bring to the notice of this Court, any error apparent on the face of record in the order for which review is sought for.

4. Review petitioner wants re-hearing of the writ petition on merit in garb of review petition which is beyond the ambit and scope of review jurisdiction, as such, this Court does not find any merit in this review petition and it is accordingly dismissed.

**(S. Kumar, J)**

veena/-

|                          |            |
|--------------------------|------------|
| <b>AFR/NAFR</b>          | NAFR       |
| <b>CAV DATE</b>          | NA         |
| <b>Uploading Date</b>    | 20.03.2020 |
| <b>Transmission Date</b> | NA         |

