

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15355 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2019 Thana- SIGAUDI District- Patna

Indrajeet Kumar, aged about 30 years, Male, S/o Sudheshwar Prasad, R/o village- Makhdumpur, Dhokhra, P.S.- Sigori, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-09-2020 Heard Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned Additional P.P. through video conferencing.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Sigori P.S. Case No.50 of 2019, registered under Sections 341, 354(B), 504, 509 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The victim alleged that while she was returning to her house after attending the coaching classes and when she reached near Nadhari, three persons, namely, Indrajeet Kumar, the petitioner, Mithlesh Bind and Kunal Yadav were standing there from before and all the accused persons pulled her *dupatta* and started teasing her. When she raised alarm, many persons rushed and only thereafter the accused persons fled away.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the



petitioner submits that all the allegations are false and concocted. The occurrence is said to have taken place at about 12.30 in the day. The allegation is not believable. Prior to institution of the present case, the uncle of the petitioner lodged Sigori P.S. Case No.76 of 2013 against the family members of the informant and the trial is going on and that is why the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case, but it appears that a young girl alleged that while was returning to her house after attending the coaching classes, the petitioner and two others not only removed her clothes but also made lewd comments and her modesty was saved only after arrival of many persons on alarm.

Taking into consideration the nature of allegations made against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

